

a unit for playing at least the desired video sub-channel and/or the desired audio sub-channel.

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the patentability of the claims of the instant application, as presently constituted, is solicited. Claims 42-43 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentably obvious over the disclosure of the Lemmon et al (US Patent No. 6,266,814) reference in view of the disclosure of the Na et al (US Patent No. 6,366,731) reference.

Claims 44 and 46 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentably obvious over the disclosure of the Lemmon et al. reference in view of the disclosure of the Schein et al (US Patent No. 6,412,110) reference.

Claims 51 and 52 have been indicated to be allowable over the prior art of record.

In response to these rejections, the claims 42-50 have been hereby cancelled.

Further, claims 53-55 are added hereby. The subject matters of claims 53, 54 and 55 are substantially the same as the subject matters of the cancelled claims 48, 49 and 50, respectively except that they are dependent from claims that have been indicated to be allowable. Claim 52 is substantially the same as the combined subject matter of claim 42 and allowable claim 47. Therefore, it is believed that no new issue will be raised by

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 18 2008


the entry of the above amendments. Further, these amendments do not introduce any prohibited new matter for the same reasons.

For the above reasons, it is believed that, in addition to claims 51 and 52 being allowable as pointed out in the outstanding action, claims 53 to 55 are also allowable over the prior art of record, and thus, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as set forth in the outstanding office action should now be withdrawn and all remaining claims indicated to be allowable.

It is pointed out that this response is being filed within two (2) months of the date the outstanding office action was issued. Therefore, the examiner should act on the present submission in sufficient time that no extension of time should be required to respond to the outstanding action. Allowance of this application is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Intellectual Property Law Office of Michael G. Gilman



Michael G. Gilman, Attorney for the applicant

Registration No 19114

The above signature attests to the fact that the instant response was indeed filed by facsimile transmission on the date set forth above. It is acknowledged that the Patent and Trademark Office has affirmed that such transmission has been received on the date set forth above.